Numerical Modelling of Tropical Cyclone Storm Surge

R. J. SOBEY, M.I.E. Aust., B. A. HARPER and G. M. MITCHELL

SUMMARY Details are presented of a general numerical hydrodynamic model for the generation and propagation of tropical cyclone or hurricane storm surge. The model, known as SURGE, solves the two-dimensional depth-integrated form of the Long Wave Equations using an explicit finite difference procedure, with tropical cyclone surface wind and pressure forcing estimated from an adaption of available models based on U.S. hurricanes. Variations in tropical cyclone parameters as well as the physical characteristics of a coastal location such as bathymetry and details of capes, bays, reefs and islands are accommodated by the model. The accuracy and stability of the numerical solution have been confirmed by a comprehensive wave deformation analysis including quasi-non-linear effects and the open boundary problem has been overcome by the use of a Bathystrophic Storm Tide approximation to boundary water levels. A detailed sensitivity analysis has identified the principal surge generating parameters and the model has been checked against an historical tropical cyclone storm surge. SURGE has been used extensively in the northern Australian region and examples are presented.

1 INTRODUCTION

The tropical cyclone or hurricane storm surge is a meteorologically forced long wave motion resulting in a sustained superelevation of the sea surface, at least for a few hours, above that produced by the normal periodic astronomical tide. It is the result of the combined action on the underlying water body of the extreme atmospheric pressure gradients and wind shear stresses generated by a mature tropical cyclone. The region of surge intensification can extend over a substantial length of coastline (of order 200 km) and the development and impact of the surge wave at a particular site is sensitive to a number of meteorological and topographical factors. Briefly these are the intensity and scale of the tropical cyclone, the speed and track of the storm, the underwater and overbank terrain, offshore reefs and islands, local coastal features (bays, headlands, estuaries) and the astronomical tide. Historically, storm tides have resulted in considerable damage to exposed coastlines, the flooding of low lying land and loss of life. A potentially critical situation arises when the total sustained water level (surge + tide) exceeds the highest astronomical tide (HAT) level.

In response to the need for reliable storm surge estimates in northern Australia for the design of coastal structures and for the protection of coastal communities, a numerical hydrodynamic model was developed within the Department of Civil and Systems Engineering at James Cook University. The model, known as SURGE, is a general numerical hydrodynamic model for the generation and propagation of tropical cyclone storm surge and can be applied to most coastal regions (11). It includes the effects of undersea bathymetry, offshore islands, reefs and other coastal features, as well as the flooding of low lying land. Tropical cyclone size, intensity and track can be varied continuously throughout a simulation to produce water flow patterns, contours of water level,

coastal surge profiles at any time and water level and flow velocity time histories anywhere within the model area. SURGE is a comprehensive software system and is fully documented in the form of a user's guide (4). Particular attention has been given to the quite considerable problems of input data format and especially output data selection and presentation.

While numerical modelling of long wave propagation is not new, there are a number of unique aspects of the storm surge problem. In particular, the complex character and geophysical extent of the meteorological forcing, the specification of suitable open boundary conditions and the satisfactory resolution of the storm structure in discretised form all require special attention (11).

2 MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The response of a homogeneous sea to the meteorological forcing of a tropical cyclone is described by the full Navier-Stokes Equations for a homogeneous, incompressible fluid. Direct numerical solutions of these equations are as yet not feasible and a three-dimensional solution would only appear necessary where fluid density difference and/or the vertical flow structure may be important. An adequate description of long wave propagation (astronomical tides and storm surge) can be had from a two-dimensional vertically integrated form of the Reynolds Equations - the Long Wave Equations (16). These equations represent the conservation of mass and the conservation of momentum in horizontal directions x and y and time t:

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{U^2}{\eta-d}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{UV}{\eta-d}\right) - fV$$

$$= -g(\eta-d)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\eta-d}{\rho_w}\right)\frac{\partial P_s}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho_w}(\tau_{sx}-\tau_{bx}) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{UV}{\eta-d}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{V^2}{\eta-d}\right) + fU$$

$$= -g(\eta-d)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{\eta-d}{\rho_w}\right)\frac{\partial P_s}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{\rho_w}(\tau_{sy}-\tau_{by}) \tag{3}$$

The x-y datum plane is located at the mean water level with the z axis directed vertically upwards. The water surface elevation with respect to datum is $\eta(x,y,t)$, the sea bed is $d(x,y)$ with respect to datum, U and V are depth-integrated flows per unit width, f is the Coriolis parameter and ρ_w is the mass density of sea water. The forcing influence of the tropical cyclone is represented through the surface wind shear stress vector $\tau_s(x,y,t)$, resolved into components τ_{sx} and τ_{sy}, and the x and y gradients of the M.S.L. atmospheric pressure $p_s(x,y,t)$. The effect of bottom stress is represented through the seabed shear stress vector $\tau_b(x,y,t)$, resolved into components τ_{bx} and τ_{by} such that, for example, in blue water regions:

$$\tau_{bx} = \frac{\lambda}{8}\,\rho_w\,\frac{|Q|U}{(\eta-d)^2} \qquad (4)$$

where $Q = (U^2+V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the total flow per unit width and λ is the Darcy-Weisbach friction factor, assumed depth-dependent according to the Colebrook-White formula for a hydraulically rough boundary layer. Modified values of λ are adopted near reefs and for overbank flooding, the details being presented below.

At this stage the equations are sometimes linearised, neglecting the (normally small) convective accelerations. In SURGE all terms have been retained as they present no particular numerical

TABLE I

SURGE COMPUTATIONAL MOLECULES AND FINITE DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Mass Conservation Equation –

$$H_{i,j}^{n+1} = H_{i,j}^{n} - \left[\frac{U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - U_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} + \frac{V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - V_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x}\right]\Delta t \qquad (a)$$

X Momentum Equation –

$$U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \left[U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right.$$

$$-\left\{\frac{U_{i+1\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,U_{i+1\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DX}_{i+1\frac{1}{2},j}^{n} - U_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,U_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DX}_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{n}}{2\Delta x}\right.$$

$$+\frac{U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,\overline{V}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{n} - U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j-1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,\overline{V}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j-1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j-1}^{n}}{2\Delta y}$$

$$-\,f\,\overline{V}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+\,g\,\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n}\,\frac{H_{i+1,j}^{n} - H_{i,j}^{n}}{\Delta x}$$

$$+\,g\,\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n}\,\frac{B_{i+1,j}^{n} - B_{i,j}^{n}}{\Delta x}$$

$$-\,WX_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n}\Big\}\,\Delta t\,\right] / \left[\,1 + \Delta t\,\frac{\lambda}{8}\sqrt{(U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 + (\overline{V}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}\,/\,(\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n})^2\,\right] \quad (b)$$

where $\overline{DX}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{i,j} + H_{i,j}^{n} + D_{i+1,j} + H_{i+1,j}^{n})$

$\overline{V}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4}(V_{i+1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + V_{i+1,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + V_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$

Y Momentum Equation –

$$V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \left[V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right.$$

$$-\left\{\frac{U_{i+1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,V_{i+1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DY}_{i+1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} - U_{i-1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,V_{i-1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DY}_{i-1,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}}{2\Delta x}\right.$$

$$+\frac{V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,V_{i,j+1\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DY}_{i,j+1\frac{1}{2}}^{n} - V_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,V_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\overline{DY}_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}}{2\Delta y}$$

$$+\,f\,\overline{U}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+\,g\,\overline{DY}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}\,\frac{H_{i,j+1}^{n} - H_{i,j}^{n}}{\Delta y}$$

$$+\,g\,\overline{DY}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}\,\frac{B_{i,j+1}^{n} - B_{i,j}^{n}}{\Delta y}$$

$$-\,WY_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}\Big\}\,\Delta t\,\right] / \left[\,1 + \Delta t\,\frac{\lambda}{8}\sqrt{(\overline{U}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 + (V_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}\,/\,\overline{DY}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n})^2\,\right] \quad (c)$$

where $\overline{DY}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{i,j} + H_{i,j}^{n} + D_{i,j+1} + H_{i,j+1}^{n})$

$\overline{U}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4}(U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + U_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + U_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + U_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$

problems and in some cases the commonly neglected terms (such as Coriolis and convective acceler- ations) can even be relatively important (6,11). A SURGE input option however does allow the con- vective terms to be omitted (4).

3   NUMERICAL SOLUTION

The flow field, coastal details, offshore and over- bank bathymetry and coral reefs are represented on a square grid of unit dimension Δs and discrete values of the variables are specified on a space (x,y) and time (t) staggered computational grid, whose node points are defined as $(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, n\Delta t)$. Water surface elevation H (or η), bed elevation D (or d) with respect to M.S.L. and barometric head $B = p_s/\rho_w g$ are located at points (i,j,n), depth- integrated flow U and the surface wind shear stress term $WX = \tau_{sx}/\rho_w$ at $(i+\frac{1}{2},j,n+\frac{1}{2})$ and the depth- integrated flow V and the surface wind shear stress term $WY = \tau_{sy}/\rho_w$ at $(i,j+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2})$ points.

Numerical integration of the partial differential Equations 1,2 and 3 is accomplished by an explicit "leapfrog" procedure similar to that used in the numerical simulation of storm tides in Galveston Bay, Texas, by Reid and Bodine (8). The computat- ional molecules and explicit finite difference equations are listed in Table 1, the continuity equation being centred at $(i,j,n+\frac{1}{2})$, the x momentum equation at $(i+\frac{1}{2},j,n)$ and the y momentum equation at $(i,j+\frac{1}{2},n)$. In a number of special cases associated with boundary or internal (reef, weir, island) constraints, the complete finite difference Equations b and c of Table 1 cannot be implemented and suitably reduced forms (11) are adopted in such circumstances. Successive application of the x and y momentum equations and the continuity equation, together with appropriate boundary conditions advance the solution by one simulation time step Δt.

4   STABILITY AND WAVE DEFORMATION

As SURGE is based on explicit finite difference equations, numerical stability for all wave numbers is ensured only when the Courant number $Cr = [g(\eta-d)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta t/\Delta s$ does not exceed unity. Compliance with this equation, however, does not necessarily guarantee the accuracy of the solution and a broader analysis of the model behaviour is necessary to fully examine the numerical distortion of the physical surge wave. Some measure of this distor- tion can be had from a comparison of the numerical and analytical solutions of the equations in the time domain using the complex propagation factor of Leendertse (7). This method allows evaluation of the wave-number-dependent amplitude and phase distortions introduced by the numerical solution.

Assuming the non-linear interactions to be weak, as is generally the case for the Long Wave Equations, an evaluation of the wave deformation character- istics of the solution can be obtained from a quasi- linear approximation to the finite difference equations (11). The results show that the finite difference equations are appropriate discrete approximations to the partial differential equations across the complete wave number spectrum. For typical applications with Cr=1.0 and $L/\Delta s$ of order 25, negligible phase and amplitude distortion can be expected.

5   BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The mathematical description of the computational field is completed by the specification of suitable boundary conditions, which for SURGE can be divided into a number of broad categories. Consistent with the staggered finite difference representation of the flow field, boundary conditions for either U or V (i.e. flow) situations take effect at spatial locations midway between nodes. For H (i.e. water level) situations the conditions require some inter- pretation in terms of the general finite difference equations; two or more conditions could apply at the one location and in many cases the spatial coverage of the general schemes needs to be restricted in the vicinity of a constraint. All this information is supplied to the model by the systematic specification of over fifty different flag conditions (4).

(a) *Coastal Boundaries*. These represent the simplest boundary conditions, stipulating vanishing normal transport across the coast at sea nodes adjacent to land nodes

$$\text{i.e. } U^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} = 0 \text{ or } V^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \qquad (5)$$

Various combinations of these component conditions, together with the normal case of uninterrupted flow, allow the representation of any area of coastline.


Figure 1   Schematic representation of reef boundary condition

(b) *Reefs and Low Barriers*. In terms of long wave propagation it is appropriate to represent the presence of reefs or sand banks within the flow field as a submerged broad-crested weir, an overflow broad-crested weir, or a total flow barrier. The exact representation depends upon the crest elevation of the reef z_{crest} with respect to the instantaneous water levels, H_u on the upstream side and H_d on the downstream side of the reef. This is shown schem- atically in Figure 1 where q is the resulting water discharge (i.e. U or V, depending on reef orientat- ion) across the reef. In the vicinity of reefs it is convenient to omit the smaller convective and Coriolis acceleration terms from the momentum equations. The influence of the reef is then rep- resented by an effective Darcy-Weisbach friction factor (12) based on the normal submerged and over- flow broad-crested weir equations through an assumption of locally uniform flow:

$$\tau_{bx} = \frac{\lambda}{8}\rho_w \frac{|U|U}{(\eta-d)^2} \qquad (6)$$

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \dfrac{8(\eta-d)^3}{(C_1\Delta W_1)^2\,\Delta s} & \text{for submerged weir} \\[3mm] \dfrac{16(\eta-d)^3}{(C_2\Delta W_2)^2\,\Delta s} & \text{for overflow weir} \end{cases}$$

C_1 = submerged weir discharge coefficient $(\sqrt{2})$
ΔW_1 = submerged weir head = $\frac{1}{2}(H_u + H_d) - z_{crest}$
C_2 = overflow weir discharge coefficient (0.5)
and ΔW_2 = overflow weir head = $H_u - z_{crest}$

For the case of $z_{crest} > H_u$ or H_d (total flow barrier), the conventional coastal boundary (a) applies. The continuity equation remains unchanged for all cases. Offshore reefs are mostly subgrid effects as their spatial scale is small compared with Δs. In such cases the seabed levels $(D_{i,j})$ should be selected as if the reefs did not exist. Such reef conditions have been used extensively for computations in the vicinity of the Great Barrier Reef.

(c) *Coastal Flooding*. The coastal flooding algorithm in SURGE represents the wetting and drying of low lying land through a two-dimensional cascade of broad-crested weirs and local storages (12). It is consistent in spirit with the representation of offshore reefs in (b). Each flood element is considered centred on a grid or H point and has horizontal dimensions Δs by Δs, with sides passing through the U and V locations at half-grid points. Bed elevation $D_{i,j}$ is as usual specified at the H point while broad-crested weirs with elevation $D_{i,j} + z_c|_{i,j}$ along all four sides totally surround each flood element. Adjacent elements have potentially different bed levels and crest elevations and at matching sides the higher of the two crest levels is considered operative in determining element exchange flows across that side, as illustrated in Figure 2a; this procedure was also followed for (b). The continuity equation is again unchanged, except that each element is constrained to empty only to the element crest level to characterise local pondage.


(a) Crest Elevation for Element Exchange Flow.

(b) Broad-Crested Weir Friction Diagram.

Figure 2   Coastal flooding boundary condition

Frictional resistance to overbank flow is represented through the weir height z_c relative to local bed level and through the spacing of these weirs Δs. Given a grid scale, the choice of appropriate z_c, in the absence of calibration data, can reasonably be based on an engineering estimate of the equivalent weir behaviour of say urban housing or mangrove swamps. A non-dimensional weir friction diagram, Figure 2b, has been prepared to assist in the estimation and evaluation of overbank resistance. It is based on the λ estimates of Equation 6 and shows the dependence of the friction factor on the dimensionless relative weir height z_c/y_n, the dimensionless normal depth $y_n/\Delta s$ and the slope of the uniform flow energy grade line S, y_n being the normal depth.

(d) *Open Sea Boundaries*. While open boundary conditions for *astronomical* tide propagation are quite straightforward and require only the specification of water surface histories at these locations for satisfactory representation, *meteorological* tides are generated by local surface forces. For a tropical cyclone the spatial extent of the forcing approaches 1000 km, although the region of peak positive and negative surges has a spatial scale of the order of the radius of maximum winds (typically 30 km). In such a case meteorological forcing outside the computational field can only be represented by the open boundary condition. Ideally the open boundaries would be insignificant. However, the storage and speed limitations of present time-shared computer systems preclude the adoption of a computational field that has linear dimensions of the order of 1000 km and simultaneously reproduces details on the scale of the radius of maximum winds. A practical compromise to this conflict of scales has been incorporated in SURGE by effectively including the forcing influence outside the computational field in the open boundary conditions.

Three separate forms of open boundary conditions are available in SURGE (3,11):

(i)   Pressure Surge Condition (H = ΔB), where open boundary water levels are set equal to the pressure surge, the head of water equivalent to the local atmospheric pressure deficit, i.e.

$$H_{i,j}^n = (p_\infty - p_s|_{i,j}^n)/\rho_w g \qquad (7)$$

This condition is regarded as only a first approximation to the actual water levels along an open boundary as it does not include the effects of the wind tide. The pressure surge condition is the default condition and is used as an initial value in condition (ii) below.

(ii)   Bathystrophic Storm Tide (B.S.T.) Approximation, where open boundary water levels are set equal to the local bathystrophic storm tide, i.e. the quasi-steady profile described, for example by

$$0 = -g(\eta-d)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} - \frac{(\eta-d)}{\rho_w}\frac{\partial p_s}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_{sx}}{\rho_w} \qquad (8)$$

when the open boundary is in the x direction and intersects the coastline.

From a physical viewpoint the bathystrophic tide condition provides a reasonably realistic boundary condition. It involves a lowest order momentum balance along the open boundary to include the forcing influence of the tropical cyclone outside the boundary. Water levels along the open boundary can rise and fall in response to the intensity and position of the tropical cyclone as shown in Figure 3. Such a boundary condition enables optimal use of the grid coverage, realistic water levels and

Figure 3   Concept of Bathystrophic Storm Tide open boundary condition

flow patterns being obtained in close proximity to the boundaries. Detailed discussions are given elsewhere (3,11).

(iii)   Time Dependent Water Level Inputs, where open boundary water levels are set equal to supplied water levels (e.g. tidal input, hydrographs),

$$H_{i,j}^{n} = h(t) \qquad (9)$$

This form of open boundary condition can also be used in conjunction with (i) and (ii) above, although no interaction between the two inputs (viz. meteorological and astronomical) is assumed. The appropriate meteorological forcing, pressure surge or B.S.T., is first applied with water levels at M.S.L., and the time dependent water levels are then added. Additionally this condition allows a "dual model" approach, in which water levels derived from a large scale model are used later as open boundary inputs to a smaller scale, detailed model of a particular section of coast.

6   TROPICAL CYCLONE FORCING

The aerodynamics of the tropical cyclone and the hydrodynamics of the underlying water body are coupled by the atmospheric pressure p_S and wind shear stress τ_S at the air-sea interface. Their estimation throughout the flow field during the passage of a tropical cyclone follows from the adoption of a suitable model of the near-surface meteorological structure of the storm. The model developed initially by Graham and Nunn (2,15) under the National Hurricane Research Project (NHRP) of the former U.S. Weather Bureau forms the basis of the tropical cyclone sub-model in SURGE. No claim is made that this model is entirely satisfactory; in fact our knowledge of tropical cyclone wind fields is far from complete, especially in Australia. It has been adopted in the absence of a more suitable alternative.

Many of the highly empirical aspects of the original NHRP model, such as rate of filling over land and the reduction of over-land wind speeds, have been omitted in favour of representing the major features of the tropical cyclone. In particular

(a) Typical wind velocity and atmospheric pressure profiles along line of maximum winds

(b) Typical isovel and wind vector pattern for a moving model storm

Figure 4   Structure of tropical cyclone forcing

the radial wind and pressure profiles, the vari-
ation of the radial inflow angle and the asymmetry
of the wind field are included and expressed in
terms of the four parameters commonly assumed to
characterise a tropical cyclone:

   (i)   Central pressure p_0 at M.S.L.

   (ii)   Maximum sustained wind V_{10} at a height of
        10 m above M.S.L.

   (iii) Radius of maximum winds R.

   (iv)   Speed V_{FM} and direction Θ_{FM} of storm
        forward movement.

SURGE allows all four parameters to be varied con-
tinuously to represent changes in storm intensity
and track. Details may be found in Ref.11. Radial
profiles of near-surface wind and M.S.L. atmos-
pheric pressure are sketched in Figure 4a and
Figure 4b shows a typical isovel and wind vector
pattern for a moving model storm. The over-water
wind speed W_{10} at height 10 m above M.S.L. and the
resulting shear stress τ_s on the water surface are
assumed to be related as

$$\tau_s = C_{10} \, \rho_a \, W_{10}^2 \qquad\qquad (10)$$

where C_{10} is a non-dimensional surface friction or
drag coefficient. Approximate relations for C_{10}
over the range of wind speeds for oceanic appli-
cations according to Wu (17) are incorporated in
SURGE.

7   MODEL VERIFICATION AND SENSITIVITY TESTING

Initial verification of the model hydrodynamics was
accomplished by comparison of a numerical prediction
with a known analytical solution for wind setup on
a rectangular lake under constant wind forcing.
The agreement was almost exact. Since analytical
solutions more closely related to open coast

tropical cyclone storm surge are not available, an
alternative approach to detailed verification was
adopted involving comparison with a documented
record of an historical tropical cyclone storm surge
(11).

The tropical cyclone ALTHEA storm surge near Towns-
ville in December 1971 is probably the best docu-
mented storm surge to occur in Australia. Water
level records from four automatic tide gauges
(Mourilyan, Lucinda, Townsville and Bowen) plus
post-cyclone debris level surveys enabled an order-
of-magnitude reconstruction of the surge profile
development along the coast. No flow velocity data
was available, nor was there any record of water
levels at other than coastal locations. The Bureau
of Meteorology (1), with the aid of shore-based
radar, traced the path of ALTHEA as it approached
and crossed the continental shelf and estimated the
meteorological parameters. The central pressure
fell to 952 mb and the radius to maximum winds was
typically 35 km. The storm crossed the coast approx-
imately 45 km north of Townsville and registered a
peak surge of 2.78 m above predicted tide level at
Townsville Harbour.

The coastal region from Innisfail in the north to
Bowen in the south and up to 260 km offshore was
represented on a 5 n mile grid system of 30 by 40
points as shown in Figure 5 which includes a
reconstructed path of ALTHEA. The coastline is
shown as a series of straightline barriers while
the Great Barrier Reef is indicated by a series of
broken line barriers that maintain the recognised


Figure 5 Model representation of Townsville
region and track during Tropical
Cyclone ALTHEA

(a) Storm Surge Hydrograph at Townsville.

(b) Maximum Water Level Envelope Along Coast.

Figure 6 SURGE hindcast of Tropical
Cyclone ALTHEA

shipping passages. Due to an almost complete lack of information on the offshore astronomical tide, the simultaneous propagation of tide and surge could not be considered and the hindcast is compared to the deviation of water levels away from predicted astronomical tide (and ignoring nonlinear interactions). Figures 6a and b show the results of SURGE simulation compared with the storm surge hydrograph recorded at Townsville Harbour and also with less reliable data available on maximum coastal water levels. The results show good agreement with this limited historical record, even at this coarse 5 n mile resolution level. A more thorough evaluation will only be possible when spatially comprehensive time histories of sea surface development of the type promised by the SEASAT satellite programme become available. Figure 7a shows part of the model flow field shortly after the storm has crossed the line of barrier reefs and the strong currents generated along the coastline and through the numerous reef passages. Figure 7b shows contours of water level above M.S.L. at the time of storm landfall.

In addition to the comparison with an historical record, a comprehensive sensitivity analysis was undertaken to evaluate the model performance under various conditions (6,11) and to define the likely envelope of response. In particular, testing of various dynamic open boundary situations was undertaken together with consideration of bed friction specifications, bathymetry effects, coastal forms and initialization. A broad range of tropical cyclone parameters, including track, was also examined and highlighted the need for adequate resolution of the storm wind and pressure structure. An $R/\Delta s$ ratio of at least 4 was shown to be necessary for an accurate representation of the structure of the storm forcing.

8   MODEL APPLICATIONS

SURGE has been used extensively for the study of tropical cyclone storm surge hazard in northern Australia. In particular a comprehensive analysis was undertaken for the Beach Protection Authority, Brisbane, at ten separate sites along the Queensland coast and covering over 2000 km of coastline (5,11). At each of the sites three tropical cyclones at each of three approach directions were modelled, based on a statistical analysis of historical tropical cyclone records, and their effect on coastal locations was examined in detail. This extensive study required the modelling of a wide range of coastal features from the shallow waters of the Gulf of Carpentaria, through the Great Barrier Reef dominated Coral Sea coast to the comparatively narrow and plunging continental shelf along Queensland's Gold Coast region. Other study areas in northern Australia include Mermaid Sound in Western Australia (13), an area subject to the most severe tropical cyclones in Australia, and even more detailed investigations of estuarine storm surge penetration at Weipa on Cape York Peninsula (14) and Trinity Inlet at Cairns (12). The latter two studies included the use of a one-dimensional hydro-dynamic model ESTFLO (9) which was interfaced with SURGE. Figure 8 shows the Trinity Inlet model structure where a three-pass procedure was adopted, involving two successive grid scale reductions by factors of six and appropriate truncation of the computational field at each pass. This structure was necessary to include the area of significant meteorological forcing and still reproduce overbank flooding within Trinity Inlet and in a loop around Admirality Island. This formulation was necessary to achieve a realistic representation of the flow pattern between the deeper channels which are the

(a) Flow velocity pattern three hours before storm landfall

(b) Water surface contour pattern at time of storm landfall

Figure 7 Typical SURGE output for ALTHEA hindcast

major vehicle for surge penetration, and the extensive mangrove areas. Figure 9 shows a typical time sequence of surge penetration and overbank flooding within the C grid region. Heights are in cm above M.S.L. datum.

Figure 8   Trinity Inlet model structure

9   CONCLUSIONS

The numerical hydrodynamic model SURGE adequately describes the generation and propagation of tropical cyclone storm surge and is sufficiently flexible to represent a wide range of coastal features and storm effects. Apart from applications in coastal engineering investigations, SURGE has a potential role, with improved weather monitoring, in providing real-time forecasting advice to aid in counter-disaster planning.

10   ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

11   REFERENCES

1.   Bureau of Meteorology, "Report by Director of Meteorology on Cyclone 'Althea'", July 1972.

2.   Graham, H.E. and Nunn, D.E., "Meteorological Considerations Pertinent to Standard Project Hurricane, Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States", U.S. Weather Bureau, National Hurricane Research Project, Report No.33, 1959.

3.   Harper, B.A. and Sobey, R.J., "Open Boundary Conditions for Open Coast Hurricane Storm Surge", submitted for publication, 1981.

4.   Harper, B.A., Sobey, R.J. and Stark, K.P., "User's Guide to SURGE", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, November 1977, unpublished.

Surge Heights H (cm) above M.S.L. for J= 40 to 1 and I= 1 to 30

(a) Water levels over C grid one hour before storm landfall

Figure 9 Overbank flooding in Trinity Inlet

5. Harper, B.A., Sobey, R.J. and Stark, K.P., "Numerical Simulation of Tropical Cyclone Storm Surge along the Queensland Coast, Part I Weipa", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, November 1977 (for Beach Protection Authority, Brisbane). (Also Part II Cooktown, Part III Cairns, Part IV Innisfail, Part V Ingham, Part VI Townsville, Part VII Bowen, Part VIII Mackay, Part IX Rockhampton, Part X Gold Coast. All reports available from Beach Protection Authority.)

6. Harper, B.A., Sobey, R.J. and Stark, K.P., "Sensitivity Analysis of a Tropical Cyclone Surge Model", in Noye, B.J. (ed.) "Numerical Simulation of Fluid Motion", North-Holland, (1978), p.371-381.

7. Leendertse, J.J., "Aspects of a Computational Model for Long Period Water-Wave Propagation", Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, RM-5294-PR, 1967.

Surge Heights H (cm) above M.S.L. for J= 40 to   1 and I= 1 to 30

```
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+
40    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+123 122 121 120 119 118 117 116 115 114 113 112 112 111 110 109 109 108 107 107
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++
39    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^139 128+129 126 123 121 118 117 116 114 113 112 110 106 103 101 100  99 100 102 107
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+
38    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+130 127 124 122 119 116 115 114 113 111 108 104 100  98  96  94  91 100 107
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++++++                                             +++++9999
37    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^129+126 123 120 117 114 113 112 110 107 103  99  96  93  89  85+^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^++++                                             +++++^^^^^9999
36    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^128+124 120 117 114 113 112 110 107 103  98  95  91  88+ 81 ^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++                                          +++++^^^^^^^^9999
35    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^125+121 117 114 112 111 110 107 102  97  94  89+^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++                                      +++++++++^^^^^^^^^9999
34    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^122+117 114 112 111 109 105 101  96+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^++++                                   +++++++++^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
33    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+114 113 111 108 104+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+    +    +++++++++++++++^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
32    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+109 110+101 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
31    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+107 108+100 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
30    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+106 106+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
29    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+105 105+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
28    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+103 103 103+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
27    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+103 103 103+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +                                           9999
26    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+102 102 102+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++                                                9999
25    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+102 101+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^++++++++++++++     +++++^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
24    9999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+100 101 101 101 101 100+ 97 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^++   ++++++++++^^^                                         9999
23    99999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+100^^^^^^^^^^^^+100 100+100  88 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^         +++++++++^^                                      9999
22    99999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+100+ 90 ^^^^^^^^+100 100  99  99+ 99  82 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+  +     +++++++++^      +++++^                             9999
21    99999999^^^^^^^162 149 137 126 117 106 100  95  94  92+ 99+ 98 ^^^^^^^^^^100+ 99  99  99+ 98  82  61 ^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999^^^^^^^^^^^                   +  +^^^^^^^^^^^^^++++++++^      +++++^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^9999
20    99999999^^^^^^^157 148 138 126 117 104  99  95  94  92+ 99+ 96 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^101+ 99  99+ 98  83  52 ^^^^^^^9999
      999999995999^^^^^^^^^^                   +  +^^^^^        ^^^^^^^^^     +++++^     +++++^^^^^^^^9999
19    999999999999^^^^147 139 126 114 102  98  94  94  92+ 99+^^^^  71  75 ^^^^^^^^^101 106  87+ 99  98+ 95  72  41 ^^^^^9999
      9999999999999999^^^^                  ++++++++++^                  ^^^^^^^^^^^                9999
18    9999999999999999^^^^146 136 123 112 103  97  93  95  93+ 99+ 97  80 110 110 101  98 102  92+ 98  98+ 96  76  38 ^^^^9999
      999999999999999999                   +++++++++^^             ^^^^^^^^^^^                     9999
17    9999999999999999154 139 135 119 112 102  96  90+ 98  99  99+ 98  70  98  98  81 ^^^^^^^^^  96+ 98  98+ 95  77  40  13 9999
      99999999999999999^^^^^                  +++++++++^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+    +     9999
16    9999999999999999^^^^^^^131 119 109  99  94  88+ 98+ 97 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^  ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+ 98  98+ 94  75  42 ^^^^9999
      9999999999999999999^^^^     +^^^^^^^^^^^^^     ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+++++          +          9999
15    9999999999999999^^^138 126 115 104  99  92  86+ 98+^^^^^^^^^^^^^^  14 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^+ 98  98  98+ 94  76  37 ^^^^^9999
      99999999999999999^^^^^                  +   +^^^^^^^^^^^^^     ^^^^^^^^^^+    +             9999
14    999999999999999999^^135 122 112 104  94  84  83+ 98+ 96  82  59  26  54  36 ^^^^^^^^^^^+ 97  97  97+ 92  62 ^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999999999^^^^     +    +++++^^^^^^^^^^     ^^^^^^^^+++++             +++++^^^^^^^^^^^9999
13    999999999999999999^^^140 117 101  90  83  74  79+ 98  97+^^^^^^^^^^^^103 ^^^^^^^^+ 96  96  97+ 96  75 ^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999999999999999999^^^^     +++++    +++++^^^^^^^^^^                     +                   9999
12    9999999999999999999^^138 119  69  69  65  67  79  92+ 97  96+^^^^^^^^123 109  98  93+ 95  96  96+ 90  54 ^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999999999999999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^     +++++     +++++^^^^^^^^^^                               9999
11    9999999999999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^+ 95  95+^^^^^^^^^110  96+ 94  95  95+ 92  75 ^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999999999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^     +++++     +++++^                             9999
10    9999999999999^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^154 123 100 ^^^^111  97  91  94+ 94+ 81 ^^^^^^^^+ 93  93  94+^^^^  88  67  33 ^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999999999999999999^^^^     +++++     +++++^^                                       9999
9     99999999999999999999999999^^^146 124 111 103 103  95  90+ 93  93+^^^^^^^^^  93+ 93  93+ 93  79  83  58 ^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999999999999999999^^^^     +    +++++^^^^^+++++     +++++^^^^                        9999
8     99999999999999999999999999^^^140 120 107 100  98  93  88+ 92+ 91 ^^^^^^^^+ 92  92+ 93  86 ^^^^  83 ^^^^^^^^^^9999
      9999999999999999999^^^     +    +^^^^+++++^          +++++^                                 9999
7     99999999999999148 129 118 112 107 101  97  95  90  88+ 92+ 90 ^^^+ 91  91  92  91+ 90  90  83  63 ^^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999999^^^^^     +++++     +     +++++     +++++^                                     9999
6     99999999999999147 132 118 111 105  99  94  92  87+ 91  91+ 89  87+ 91  91+ 91+ 91  90+ 91  85  78  56 ^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999^^^^^     +     +++++     +++++     +++++     +++++++++^                          9999
5     99999999999^^^^142 138 123 112 102  95  93  90  84+ 90+ 92  86+ 90  90+ 91  90  89+ 90  90  89+ 85  59 ^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999^^^^     +    +     +++++^     +++++^^^^^                                         9999
4     99999999999^^^^142 131 127 113 103  94  89  87  81+ 90+ 90  84+ 90+ 89 ^^^^^^^^^^^^+ 89  90+ 87  63 ^^^^^^^^9999
      999999999999     +++++++++^          +++++^                                                 9999
3     999999999999111 128 104 105 112 107  95  89  86  79+ 90  90  90  90+ 90  84  75  67  84  84+ 89+ 86  66 ^^^^^^^^9999
      999999999999^^^^^^^^^^^     +++++++++++++++++^     +                                        9999
2     999999999999^^^^100 ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^102  91  90  92  84  72  69  80  80+ 89+ 86  65 ^^^^^^^^^^9999
      99999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999
1     99999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999
   0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9   10   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26   27   28   29   30
```

(b) Water levels over C grid at storm landfall

Figure 9 (continued) Overbank flooding in Trinity Inlet

8.   Reid, R.O. and Bodine, B.R., "Numerical Model for Storm Surges in Galveston Bay", Jnl. Waterways and Harbors Div., ASCE, Vol.94, 1968, p.33-57.

9.   Sobey, R.J., Adil, T.S. and Vidler, P.F., "User's Guide to ESTFLO/Version 2B-A Numerical Hydrodynamic Model of Unsteady Gradually Varied Flow in a One-Dimensional, Well-Mixed Estuarine System", Research Bulletin No. CS22, Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, February 1980.

10. Sobey, R.J. and Harper, B.A., "Tropical Cyclone Surge Penetration Across the Great Barrier Reef", Procs., Third Australian Conference on Coastal and Ocean Engineering, I.E. Aust., Melbourne 1977, p.58-63.

11. Sobey, R.J., Harper, B.A. and Stark, K.P., "Numerical Simulation of Tropical Cyclone Storm Surge", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, Research Bulletin No. CS14, May 1977.

12. Sobey, R.J., Mitchell, G.M. and Adil, T.S., "Trinity Inlet Storm Surge Study", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, May 1979 (for Department of Housing and Construction, Melbourne), unpublished.

13. Sobey, R.J., Mills, A.B. and Young, I.R., "Mermaid Sound Extreme Water Level Study", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, May 1979 (for Woodside Petroleum Development Pty. Ltd., Perth), unpublished.

14. Sobey, R.J., Stark, K.P. and Adil, T.S., "Weipa Peninsula Extreme Water Level Study", Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, James Cook University, August 1979 (for Comalco Ltd., Brisbane), unpublished.

15. U.S. Weather Bureau, "Interim Report - Meteorological Characteristics of the Probable Maximum Hurricane, Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States", Report No.HUR.7-97, 1968.

16. Welander, P., "Numerical Prediction of Storm Surges", Advances in Geophysics, Vol. 8, 1964, p.315-379.

17. Wu, J., "Wind Stress and Surface Roughness at Air-Sea Interface", Jnl. Geophysical Research, Vol.74, 1969, p.444-455.

R.J. SOBEY

Rod Sobey completed an Honours Degree in Civil Engineering at the University of Queensland in 1965 and subsequently joined the Department of Harbours and Marine in Brisbane. He undertook graduate studies in Delft, The Netherlands in 1968/69 and Imperial College, London, U.K. in 1969/72, receiving his PhD from the University of London in 1973. He was awarded the 1973 Armstrong Medal and Prize by Imperial College for his PhD research.

From 1972 to 1974 he was a research engineer at the University of Karlsruhe, West Germany and since 1974 has been with the Department of Civil and Systems Engineering at James Cook University. His research interests include hurricane storm tides and wind waves, wave groups, estuaries and laboratory and numerical modelling. In 1980/81 he was a visiting scholar with the hydraulic and coastal engineering group at the University of California, Berkeley, U.S.A.

B.A. HARPER

Bruce Harper completed an Honours Degree in Civil Engineering at James Cook University in 1975 and then remained at the Department of Civil and Systems Engineering for a further three years as Research Officer for the tropical cyclone storm surge simulation project. This was an extensive project calling for the simulation of a large number of design tropical cyclones along the length of the Queensland coast and formed the basis of his post-graduate research studies for a PhD.

At the conclusion of the SURGE project in mid-1978 he joined the Public Works Department of New South Wales Hydraulics Laboratory at Manly Vale in Sydney. Since that time he has been involved in the development of techniques for the investigation of nearshore effluent outfall systems along the NSW coastline, involving extensive monitoring of diffusion/ dispersion processes in the nearshore area and the application of mathematical modelling. His interests in engineering software system design are being maintained at the Hydraulics Laboratory with regard to field data collection and to numerical simulation.

G.M. MITCHELL

George Mitchell was born in England in 1952 and completed his schooling there in 1970, at Clifton College, Bristol. He obtained an Honours Degree in Civil Engineering in 1975 at the James Cook University. Remaining at James Cook he completed a Master of Engineering Science Degree (by research) in 1978. The research centred around the vortex shedding from slender rigid cylinders. Further work at James Cook involved the design and testing of an electrohydraulic computer controlled water wave generator.

In early 1979 he worked closely with Dr Sobey on the extension of SURGE to incorporate a moveable boundary capability, which deals with coastal flooding, and on the interfacing of SURGE with a one-dimensional estuarine model. During his last year at James Cook, Mr Mitchell was a tutor in the Civil and Systems Engineering Department.

In early 1980 he joined the Dynamics Department of Atkins Research and Development, part of the W.S. Atkins Group, based in Epsom, England. His work here has broadly covered wind engineering, hydro-dynamics and full-scale instrumentation of offshore structures.